

## V.4 Stabilizer codes

(131)

Consider the Pauli group on  $n$  qubits

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ i^l P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n \mid P_i = I, X, Y, Z; l = 0, \dots, 3 \}$$

Two elements  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{G}$  either commute or anticommute.

Let  $S_1, \dots, S_r \in \mathcal{G}$  be a lin. indep. set of commuting  $S_i$  (i.e., no  $S_i$  is a product of others.)

Then,  $S_1, \dots, S_r$  generate a subgroup

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle S_1, \dots, S_r \rangle, \text{ the } \underline{\text{stabilizer group } \mathcal{S}}.$$

$\mathcal{S}$  defines subspace  $C$ :

$$|y\rangle \in C : \iff |y\rangle = S|y\rangle \quad \forall S \in \mathcal{S}.$$

$C$  forms the code space of a stabilizer code.

$S \in \mathcal{S}$  are called stabilizers.

(Tech. pt: This req.  $-I \notin \mathcal{S}$ , or  $S_i = \pm P_i$ .)

We have  $\dim C = 2^{n-r}$  - each lin. indep. stabilizer removes half the space!

## What about err. corr. conditions?

132

Pauli errors  $\Rightarrow E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta$  Pauli product.

3 possibilities:

(i)  $E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta$  anti-comm. w/ some  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \hat{i} | E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta | \hat{j} \rangle &= \langle \hat{i} | E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta S | \hat{j} \rangle \\ &= -\langle \hat{i} | S E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta | \hat{j} \rangle = -\langle \hat{i} | E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta | \hat{j} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \hat{j} | E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta | \hat{i} \rangle = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

(ii)  $E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta \in \mathcal{S}$ :

$$\langle \hat{i} | \underbrace{E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta}_{\in \mathcal{S}} | \hat{j} \rangle = \langle \hat{i} | \hat{j} \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \checkmark$$

Cases (i) & (ii): error correctable!

(iii)  $E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta$  comm. w/ all  $S \in \mathcal{S}$ , but  $E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta \notin \mathcal{S}$ :

$\Rightarrow E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta$  acts non-trivially on code space:

it is a logical operator.

$\Rightarrow$  not correctable.

Q: What is the shortest  $E_\alpha^\dagger E_\beta$  of that type?

Example: 3-qubit code.

$$C = \text{Span} \{ |000\rangle, |111\rangle \}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} S_1 &= ZZII \\ S_2 &= ZIZZ \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} = \{ III, ZZI, ZIZ, IZZ \}$$

$S_1 S_2$   
" "  
↑ ↑ ↑  
qubits must be  
pairwise equal  
→  $\alpha|000\rangle + \beta|111\rangle$

$$k = 3 - 2 = 1$$

⇒ 1 encoded qubit

Single-qubit X errors:

$$E_x = III, IIX, IXI, XII$$

$$E_x^\dagger E_y = III, IIX, IXI, XII, IXX, XIX, XXI$$

⇔ anti-comm. w/  $S_1, S_2, S_1 S_2$ , or  $\in \mathcal{P}$ .

⇒ correctable!

Single-qubit Z errors:

$$E_z^\dagger E_y = ZII \text{ possible.}$$

ZII comm. w/  $S_1, S_2$ , but  $ZII \notin \mathcal{P}$ !

⇒ 2 errors not correctable!

## Logical operation (or uncorrectable errors...)

134

•  $\hat{Z} = \underbrace{ZII}$  (or any  $\hat{Z}' = \hat{Z} \cdot S, S \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  
distance 2, e.g.  $\hat{Z}' = IZI, ZZZ, \dots$ )

•  $\hat{X} = XXI$ , or e.g.  $\hat{X}' = XXI \cdot ZZI = YIX, \dots$

## Error detection/correction:

X error  $E_x$  can be identified by anti-com. pattern:

e.g.:  $XII$  anti-com. w/  $ZZI, ZIZ \in \mathcal{F}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  allows to (i) correct error

(ii) ev. : uniquely identify error  
(non-degenerate "code").

## More examples:

### 3-qubit phase flip code:

$$S_1 = XXI$$

$$S_2 = IXX$$

$$\hat{X} = XII$$

$$\hat{Z} = ZZZ$$

# 9-qubit Shor code

(135)

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{3-qubit} \\
 1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_1 = ZZI \quad III \quad III \\ S_2 = IZZ \quad III \quad III \end{array} \right. \\
 \text{3-qubit} \\
 2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_3 = III \quad ZZI \quad III \\ S_4 = III \quad IZZ \quad III \end{array} \right. \\
 \text{3-qubit} \\
 3 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_5 = III \quad III \quad ZZI \\ S_6 = III \quad III \quad IZZ \end{array} \right. \\
 \hline
 S_7 = XXX \quad XXX \quad III \\
 S_8 = III \quad \underbrace{XXX} \quad \underbrace{XXX}
 \end{array}$$

8 stabilizers =  
1 encoded qubit!

Logical X on 3-qubit code.

Logical operators: e.g.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \hat{Z} = ZZZ \quad ZZZ \quad ZZZ \\ \hat{X} = XXX \quad XXX \quad XXX \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{odd \# of Z/X:} \\ \text{cannot be a S!} \end{array}$$

Shorter: e.g.  $\hat{Z} = ZII \quad ZII \quad ZII$   
 $\hat{X} = XXX \quad III \quad III$

$\hat{X}$  and  $\hat{Z}$  can be measured by meas. only 3 qubits.

(Note: meas. a global function of  $\hat{X}$  &  $\hat{Y}$  must require at least 5 qubits: no cloning argument!)

$\Rightarrow \hat{X}, \hat{Z}$  shortest possible  $\Rightarrow d = 3$  code!

(136)

(Note: Dependent code:  $\overbrace{ZII III III}^{=S_1}$  and  $\overbrace{IZI III III}^{=S_2}$

have same syndrome, since  $S_1 S_2 = ZZI III III \in \mathcal{F}$ .)

The 5-qubit-code:

$S_1 = XZZXI$   
 $S_2 = IXZZX$   
 $S_3 = XIXZZ$   
 $S_4 = ZXIXZ$

Encodes  $5-4 = 1$  qubit

Cyclic code:  $S_1, \dots, S_5$  are cyclic permutations: cyclic code words!

( $S_5 = ZZXIX = S_1 S_2 S_3 S_4$ )

Corrects any 1-qubit error:

$E_a^\dagger E_b = \text{prod. of 2 Pauli's}$

$\Rightarrow$  anti-comm. w/ at least one  $S_i$ !

(E.g.: each col. has one  $I \Rightarrow$  that bit fixed other

Pauli error  $\Rightarrow$  both Pauli's fixed by 2  $S_i \Rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ )

$\Rightarrow$  Distance  $d \geq 3$  (and  $d \leq 3$  from no-cloning)

$\Rightarrow$   $[5, 1, 3]$  QECC!

Syndromes: (1 ≡ anti-con.)

	X error a					Y error a					Z error a				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
S <sub>1</sub>	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
S <sub>2</sub>	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
S <sub>3</sub>	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
S <sub>4</sub>	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
(S <sub>5</sub> )	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1

⇒ each error has different syndrome ⇒ non-degen.  
and all 2<sup>4</sup>-1 syndromes appear!

Logical operators:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \hat{Z} &= ZZZZZ \\ \hat{X} &= XXXXX \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} &\in W, \text{ and } \notin S, \text{ since all } \\ &S_i \text{ have even \# of } X \& Z. \end{aligned}$$

or, simple:

$$\hat{Z}' = \hat{Z} \cdot S_3 = -YZYII$$

$$\hat{X}' = \hat{X} \cdot S_2 = -XIYYI$$

⇒ distance d=3.

and: we can read out logical info in  $\hat{Z}'/\hat{X}'$  basis  
 by meas. 3 qubits only.

Syndrome measurement + correction can be done only w/  
 (Controlled NOT, H, and ancillas

## Clifford gates:

(138)

The Clifford group  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of all gates which map Paulis to Paulis:

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ C \mid C(P_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P_n)C^\dagger = P'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes P'_n \}$$

## Theorem:

$\mathcal{C} \equiv \{ \text{all circuits built from CNOT, } S = (i), \text{ and } H_i \}$

(Input: Any  $C$  which maps Paulis to Paulis is of this form!)

Note: Only  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & e^{i\pi/4} \end{pmatrix}$  missing for a universal gate set!

## How to apply gates on encoded qubits?

→ Decode / Apply / Encode: Bad idea — info not protected!

→ Try to apply gates to encoded qubits!



Stabilizer codes: Clifford gates can be applied (139)

to encoded qubits:

Clifford gates on logical qubits



maps Paulis to Paulis (logical)



logical Paulis are prods. of  
Physical Paulis!

maps Paulis to Paulis (physical)



Clifford gates on physical qubits.

E.g.: 5-qubit code  $\hat{H}$  gate:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \hat{X} = X X X X X \\ \hat{Z} = Z Z Z Z Z \\ \hat{H} \hat{X} \hat{H} = \hat{Z} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{find Clifford s.t.} \\ X X X X X \leftrightarrow Z Z Z Z Z \\ \text{\& stabilizers are preserved!} \end{array}$$

Can we also realize non-Clifford gates

(e.g.  $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & e^{i\pi/4} \end{pmatrix}$ ) in a robust way?

